

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
CHARLESTON DIVISION**

Sharee L. Hiers, individually and as Personal
Representative of the Estate of Richard Dean
Sexton,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Anthony Roberts, Matthew Crosby, Marquions
Williams, Latrell Daniels, Karen Pinckney,
Linus Wright, Michael Ganyer, Freddie
Hamilton, Brutia Bradley, Brianca Epps, John
Middleton, Peter Wilson, Katrena Sampson,
Regina Morris, Robert Langdale, Gretcher
Dunbar, Tomia Jones, Wendall Webster,
Samuel Deloach, Kedeivera Price, Henry
Williams, LPN, and Alicia Price, LPN,

Defendants.

Case No.: 5:23-cv-02203-BHH-KDW

**CONSENT CONFIDENTIALITY
ORDER**

WHEREAS, the parties to this Consent Confidentiality Order (“parties”), have stipulated that certain discovery material is and should be treated as confidential, and have agreed to the terms of this Order; accordingly, it is this 22nd day of January, 2024, ORDERED:

1. **Scope.** All documents produced in the course of discovery, all responses to discovery requests and all deposition testimony and deposition exhibits and any other materials which may be subject to discovery (hereinafter collectively “documents”) shall be subject to this Order concerning confidential information as set forth below.

2. **Form and Timing of Designation.** Confidential documents shall be so designated by placing or affixing the word “CONFIDENTIAL” on the document in a manner which will not interfere with the legibility of the document and which will permit complete removal of the Confidential designation. Documents shall be designated “CONFIDENTIAL” prior to, or

contemporaneously with, the production or disclosure of the documents. Inadvertent or unintentional production of documents without prior designation as confidential shall not be deemed a waiver, in whole or in part, of the right to designate documents as confidential as otherwise allowed by this Order.

3. **Documents Which May be Designated Confidential.** Any party may designate documents as “CONFIDENTIAL” but only after review of the documents by an attorney who has, in good faith, determined that the documents contain information protected from disclosure by statute, sensitive personal information, trade secrets, or confidential research, development, or commercial information. The certification shall be made concurrently with the disclosure of the documents, which shall be executed subject to the standards of Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Information or documents which are available in the public sector may not be designated as confidential.

4. **Depositions.** Portions of depositions shall be deemed confidential only if designated as such when the deposition is taken or within seven (7) business days after receipt of the transcript. Such designation shall be specific as to the portions to be protected.

5. **Protection of Confidential Material.**

a. **General Protections.** Documents designated “CONFIDENTIAL” under this Order shall not be used or disclosed by the parties or counsel for the parties or any other persons identified below (¶ 5.b.) for any purposes whatsoever other than preparing for and conducting the litigation in which the documents were disclosed (including any appeal of that litigation).

b. **Limited Third Party Disclosures.** The parties and counsel for the parties shall not disclose or permit the disclosure of any documents designated “CONFIDENTIAL” under the terms of this Order to any other person or entity except as set forth in subparagraphs (1)-(5) below, and then only after the person to whom disclosure is to be made has executed an acknowledgment that he or she has read and understands the terms of this Order and is bound by it. Subject to these requirements, the following categories of persons may be allowed to review documents which have been designated CONFIDENTIAL pursuant to this Order:

- (1) counsel and employees of counsel for the parties who have responsibility for the preparation and trial of the lawsuit;
- (2) parties and employees of a party to this Order but only to the extent counsel shall certify that the specifically named individual party or employee's assistance is necessary to the conduct of the litigation in which the information is disclosed;
- (3) court reporters engaged for depositions and those persons, if any, specifically engaged for the limited purpose of making photocopies of documents;
- (4) consultants, investigators, or experts (hereinafter referred to collectively as "experts") employed by the parties or counsel for the parties to assist in the preparation and trial of the lawsuit;
- (5) other persons only upon consent of the producing party or upon order of the court and on such conditions as are agreed to or ordered.

c. **Control of Documents.** Counsel for the parties shall take reasonable efforts to prevent unauthorized disclosure of documents designated as Confidential pursuant to the terms of this order. Counsel shall maintain a record of those persons, including employees of counsel, who have reviewed or been given access to the documents along with the originals of the forms signed by those persons acknowledging their obligations under this Order.

d. **Copies.** All copies, duplicates, extracts, summaries or descriptions (hereinafter referred to collectively as "copies"), of documents designated as Confidential under this Order or any portion of such a document, shall be immediately affixed with the designation "CONFIDENTIAL" if the word does not already appear on the copy. All such copies shall be afforded the full protection of this Order.

6. **Filing of Confidential Materials.** In the event a party seeks to file any material that is subject to protection under this Order with the court, that party shall take appropriate action to ensure that the documents receive proper protection from public disclosure including: (1) filing a redacted document with the consent of the party who designated the document as "CONFIDENTIAL"; (2) where appropriate (*e.g.* in relation to discovery and evidentiary motions), submitting the documents solely for *in camera* review; or (3) where the preceding measures are not adequate, seeking permission to file the document under seal pursuant to the procedural steps set forth in Local Civil Rule 5.03, DSC. Absent extraordinary circumstances making prior

consultation impractical or inappropriate, the party seeking to submit the document to the court shall first consult with counsel for the party who designated the document as “CONFIDENTIAL” to determine if some measure less restrictive than filing the document under seal may serve to provide adequate protection. This duty exists irrespective of the duty to consult on the underlying motion. Nothing in this Order shall be construed as a prior directive to the Clerk of Court to allow any document be filed under seal. The parties understand that documents may be filed under seal only with the permission of the court after proper motion pursuant to Local Civil Rule 5.03.

7. **Greater Protection of Specific Documents.** No party may withhold information from discovery on the ground that it requires protection greater than that afforded by this Order unless the party moves for an Order providing such special protection.

8. **Challenges to Designation as Confidential.** Any “CONFIDENTIAL” designation is subject to challenge. The following procedures shall apply to any such challenge.

a. The burden of proving the necessity of a Confidential designation remains with the party asserting confidentiality.

b. A party who contends that documents designated “CONFIDENTIAL” are not entitled to confidential treatment shall give written notice to the party who affixed the designation of the specific basis for the challenge. The party who so designated the documents shall have fifteen (15) days from service of the written notice to determine if the dispute can be resolved without judicial intervention and, if not, to move for an Order confirming the Confidential designation.

c. Notwithstanding any challenge to the designation of documents as confidential, all material previously designated “CONFIDENTIAL” shall continue to be treated as subject to the full protections of this Order until one of the following occurs:

- (1) the party who claims that the documents are confidential withdraws such designation in writing;
- (2) the party who claims that the documents are confidential fails to move timely for an Order designating the documents as confidential as set forth in paragraph 8.b. above; or
- (3) the court rules that the documents should no longer be designated as confidential information.

d. Challenges to the confidentiality of documents may be made at any time and are

not waived by the failure to raise the challenge at the time of initial disclosure or designation.

9. Treatment on Conclusion of Litigation.

a. **Order Remains in Effect.** All provisions of this Order restricting the use of documents designated “CONFIDENTIAL” shall continue to be binding after the conclusion of the litigation unless otherwise agreed or ordered.

b. **Return of “CONFIDENTIAL” Documents.** Within thirty (30) days after the conclusion of the litigation, including conclusion of any appeal, all documents treated as confidential under this Order, including copies as defined above (¶5.d.) shall be returned to the producing party unless: (1) the document has been entered as evidence or filed (unless introduced or filed under seal); (2) the parties stipulate to destruction in lieu of return; or (3) as to documents containing the notations, summations, or other mental impressions of the receiving party, that party elects destruction. Notwithstanding the above requirements to return or destroy documents, counsel may retain attorney work product including an index which refers or relates to information designated “CONFIDENTIAL” so long as that work product does not duplicate verbatim substantial portions of the text of confidential documents. This work product continues to be Confidential under the terms of this Order. An attorney may use his or her work product in a subsequent litigation provided that its use does not disclose the confidential documents; however, any documents obtained or generated in response to ¶5 shall not be used in any subsequent litigation.

10. Order Subject to Modification. This Order shall be subject to modification on motion of any party or any other person who may show an adequate interest in the matter to intervene for purposes of addressing the scope and terms of this Order. The Order shall not, however, be modified until the parties shall have been given notice and an opportunity to be heard on the proposed modification.


11. No Judicial Determination. This Order is entered based on the representations and agreements of the parties and for the purpose of facilitating discovery. Nothing herein shall be construed or presented as a judicial determination that any specific document or item of information designated as “CONFIDENTIAL” by counsel is subject to protection under Rule 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or otherwise until such time as a document-specific ruling shall have been made.

12. Persons Bound. This Order shall take effect when entered and shall be binding

upon: (1) counsel who signed below and their respective law firms; and (2) their respective clients.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

January 22, 2024
Florence, South Carolina


Kaymani D. West
United States Magistrate Judge

**ATTACHMENT A
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
CHARLESTON DIVISION**

Sharee L. Hiers, individually and as Personal
Representative of the Estate of Richard Dean
Sexton,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Anthony Roberts, Matthew Crosby, Marquions
Williams, Latrell Daniels, Karen Pinckney,
Linus Wright, Michael Ganyer, Freddie
Hamilton, Brutia Bradley, Brianca Epps, John
Middleton, Peter Wilson, Katrena Sampson,
Regina Morris, Robert Langdale, Gretcher
Dunbar, Tomia Jones, Wendall Webster,
Samuel Deloach, Kedeivera Price, Henry
Williams, LPN, and Alicia Price, LPN,

Defendants.

Case No.: 5:23-cv-02203-BHH-KDW

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF
UNDERSTANDING AND
AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND**

The undersigned hereby acknowledges that he or she has read the Confidentiality Order dated _____, in the above captioned action, understands the terms thereof, and agrees to be bound by such terms. The undersigned submits to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina in matters relating to the Confidentiality Order and understands that the terms of said Order obligate him/her to use discovery materials designated CONFIDENTIAL solely for the purposes of the above-captioned action, and not to disclose any such confidential information to any other person, firm or concern.

The undersigned acknowledges that violation of the Stipulated Confidentiality Order may result in penalties for contempt of court.

Name: _____

Job Title: _____

Employer: _____

Business Address: _____

Date: _____

Signed: _____

Printed: _____

WE CONSENT TO THE FOREGOING ORDER:

s/ C. Carter Elliott, Jr.

C. Carter Elliott, Jr., Fed ID No. 5423
Elliott, Phelan & Kunz, LLC
117 ½ Screven Street
PO Box 1405
Georgetown, SC 29442
Tel: 843.546.0650
Email: carter@elliottphelanlaw.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

s/ Michael D. Freeman

Michael D. Freeman, Fed ID No. 9402
600 Monson Street
P.O. Drawer 570
Beaufort, SC 29901
Tel: 843-521-4242
Email: mfreeman@griffithfreeman.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Anthony Roberts,
Matthew Crosby, Marquions Williams,
Latrell Daniels, Karen Pinckney, Linus Wright,
Michael Ganyer, Freddie Hamilton, Brutia Bradley,
Brianca Epps, John Middleton, Peter Wilson,
Katreana Sampson, Regina Morris,
Robert Langdale, Gretcher Dunbar,
Tomia Jones, Wendall Webster, Samuel DeLoach,
Kedeivera Price*

s/ James G. Long, III

James G. Long III, Fed ID No. 5877
Amelia S. Waring, Fed ID No. 13327
MAYNARD NEXSEN PC
1230 Main Street, Suite 700
Post Office Drawer 2426
Columbia, SC 29202
Tel: 803.771.8900
Email: JLong@maynardnexsen.com
Email: awaring@maynardnexsen.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Alicia Price,
LPN and Henry Williams, LPN*